

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,837	11/14/2003	Vincent Bryan	31132.189	2562
46333	7590 08/23/2005		EXAM	INER
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP			STEWART, ALVIN J	
901 MAIN S SUITE 3100	=		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX 75202			3738	
			DATE MAIL ED. 09/22/200	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Sp
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/713,837	BRYAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alvin J. Stewart	3738
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) \boxtimes Responsive to communication(s) filed on \underline{O}	7 April 2005.	
- , ,	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	•	•
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) 4,9 and 21-36 is/are pending in the	e application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.	
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,9 and 36</u> is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>21,22 and 24-35</u> is/are rejected.		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) = a	accepted or b) 🔲 objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 Certified copies of the priority docum Certified copies of the priority docum 		Application No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		
application from the International But		Trocortos in this realisms Cago
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	t received.
222 2		
Attachmont(a)		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: __

Application/Control Number: 10/713,837

Art Unit: 3738

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4, 9 and 36 are allowed.

Claim 23 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Amendment

Claims 1-3, 5-8 and 10-20 are canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 21, 24, 26-30 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Monson US Patent 4,863,477.

Monson discloses an intervertebral disc (2) comprising an exterior surfaces (4 & 6), a resilient body (30 & 42), an anchor (10, 13, 14) implanted in an anterior surface of a vertebral body, forming concave surfaces and inserting between the formed concave surfaces (see Figure 2, and col. 2, lines 55-58).

Claims 21, 24, 26-30 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bainville et al US Patent 5,674,294.

Bainville et al discloses an intervertebral disc (7) comprising an anchor (the surfaces of plates 9 &11) implanted in an anterior surface of a vertebral body, forming concave surfaces and inserting between the formed concave surfaces (see Figures 2 & 3; and col. 3, lines 18-24).

Regarding claim 10, see col. 4, lines 16-26.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 22, 25 and 31-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bainville et al US Patent 5,674,294.

Bainville et al discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Bainville et al does not disclose a milling jig.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to used a milling jig to make the holes between the vertebral bodies because Applicant has not disclosed that using that specific tool provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with any other tool because any tool will perform equally as well.

Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Bainville et al reference to obtain the invention as specified in claims 21, 24, 30 and 32.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin J Stewart whose telephone number is 703-305-0277. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00AM-5:30PM(1 Friday B-week off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/713,837

Art Unit: 3738

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALVIN J. STEWART
PRIMARY EXAMINER

A. Stewart

Art Unit 3738

August 15, 2005